

SCIENCE EDUCATORS' ESSAY COLLECTION

Learning
SCIENCE
and the Science of
LEARNING

Edited by Rodger W. Bybee



Contents

Preface	vii
Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction	
<i>Harold Pratt</i> , NSTA President 2001–2002	xi

Part 1

How Do Students Learn Science?

1 How Students Learn and How Teachers Teach	
<i>Angelo Collins</i>	3

After a brief overview of the role of theory in science and in education, the author looks at the relationship between some historical learning theories and the teaching practices that flow from them. For example, how is the Socratic method consistent with what Socrates proposed about how people learn? What is behaviorist about behavioral objectives? Why is group work in line with constructivist learning theories? Science teachers are encouraged to examine their own theory-practice links.

2 Applying the Science of Learning to the Education of Prospective Science Teachers	
<i>José P. Mestre and Rodney R. Cocking</i>	11

Cognitive scientists have studied the highly organized and efficiently utilized characteristics of experts' knowledge in thinking and problem solving. The authors discuss the important implications of this body of research for how instruction should be structured for prospective teachers and other students. They conclude by discussing a series of desirable attributes for science courses that derive from the science of learning research.

Part 2

Designing Curriculum for Student Learning

3 Scientific Inquiry, Student Learning, and the Science Curriculum	
<i>Rodger W. Bybee</i>	23

What we know about student learning establishes links between scientific inquiry and the science curriculum. In this chapter, the author discusses scientific inquiry and current learning research. He then proposes that the science curriculum should be based on core concepts or “big ideas” from science domains; here the National Science Education Standards serve as a guide. Effective science instruction should parallel science inquiry and the processes of learning; here the BSCS 5E model supplies an example. The author supports teaching science as inquiry and provides science teachers with practical examples.

4 **Supporting the Science-Literacy Connection**

Jeanne Rose Century, Joseph Flynn, Doris Santamaria Makang, Marian Pasquale, Karen M. Robblee, Jeffrey Winokur, and Karen Worth 35

Language arts and science are perceived as competing for classroom time and attention, and science is often neglected. However, effective literacy instruction need not be at the expense of meaningful science instruction. The authors explore the potentially powerful linkages between science and literacy and suggest concrete ways that elementary teachers and middle and high school science teachers can simultaneously enhance science and language learning.

5 **Reaching the Zone of Optimal Learning: The Alignment of Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment**

Stephen J. Farenga, Beverly A. Joyce, and Daniel Ness 47

The authors discuss curriculum, instruction, and assessment and how their integration enables students to achieve a strong knowledge base in science. After examining conventional beliefs and more contemporary views of curriculum, instruction, and assessment, the authors demonstrate how various overlaps of any two of the three components affect science learning and literacy. The overlap of all three components leads to what the authors call the Zone of Optimal Learning.

Part 3

Teaching That Enhances Student Learning

6 **Alignment of Instruction with Knowledge of Student Learning**

Paul Jablon 61

A series of classroom vignettes and student conversations provides a glimpse into how our theoretical understanding of human learning translates into science classroom practice. The surprisingly large number of components operating in an effective classroom must interrelate with each other if students are to retain and use their science conceptual understandings. The author brings together ideas

from neurobiology, learning theory, and developmental psychology and correlates them with the complex matrix of everyday classroom practice that allows students to gain science reasoning skills, conceptual understandings, and insight into the nature of the scientific enterprise.

7 Learner-Centered Teaching
Jeffrey Weld 73

Learner-centered science teaching begins with the stories of learners. Knowing our students, and thereby crafting lessons that account for their interests, experiences, and ambitions, can make science teaching vastly more effective. Whether studying molecules, momentum, or membranes, each student brings a unique perspective with variable interest. Learner-centered teachers use student perspectives as a launching point and maximize interest by giving students a voice in determining the direction of the science class.

8 Using the Laboratory to Enhance Student Learning
Michael P. Clough 81

Typical hands-on, cookbook laboratory experiences do an extremely poor job of making apparent and playing off students' prior ideas, engendering deep reflection, and promoting understanding of complex content. This chapter addresses how to transform traditional laboratory activities into experiences that are more congruent with how people learn, the National Science Education Standards, and the nature of science.

Part 4

Assessing Student Learning

9 Using Assessment to Help Students Learn
J. Myron Atkin 93

Assessment in the classroom is more than tests and quizzes on Friday. It is an everyday feature of classroom life. Students and teachers use assessment, for example, when they gauge the quality of a response to a question, judge the accuracy of a diagram, or evaluate an oral report. Ensuing class discussions help students understand how their own efforts can be improved. Research indicates that such classroom practices, often called formative assessment, are among the most powerful methods of improving learning.

10 Assessing Student Learning
Anne M. Cox-Petersen and Joanne K. Olson 101

Assessment involves an ongoing investigation of student learning that influences teachers' planning and instruction. Multiple assessment strategies should be used

to provide feedback to students and teachers. Such strategies include questioning, concept maps, reflective journals, written tests, observations, drawings, performance, and interviews. Assessment practices should be inclusive of all students as well as congruent with learning goals and instructional strategies.

Part 5

Professional Development and the Science of Learning

11 Curriculum Reform, Professional Development, and Powerful Learning

Janet Carlson Powell, James B. Short, and Nancy M. Landes 117

The authors consider the important relationship between standards-based curriculum implementation and professional development. They begin by looking at the key recommendations about student learning and then discuss how curriculum materials can embody these recommendations. Because the result is nontraditional curriculum materials, they then consider the role of professional development for increasing the effectiveness of those materials. Finally, they discuss a professional development strategy that begins with selecting materials for curriculum reform.

12 Professional Development and How Teachers Learn: Developing Expert Science Teachers

Katherine E. Stiles and Susan Mundry 133

Groundbreaking research on learning and cognition has produced many new insights into how people learn. These findings conclusively dispel the idea that short-term and isolated learning experiences can produce powerful learning. This is especially true for teacher learning, given the complexity of teaching and the multifaceted role expert teachers must play. Teacher learning programs must become more collegial, in-depth, and longer in duration and must be tailored to the experience levels of the learners, be they novice or expert teachers.

Preface

Science teachers today face numerous initiatives, ranging from “No Child Left Behind” at the national level to assessments for each student in local classrooms. Teachers of science have the daunting task of translating these varied, and sometimes contradictory, efforts to improve science education into actions they can apply. I have found it interesting that all the initiatives have student learning as an ultimate aim. Granted, the clarity of this goal varies considerably, but regardless of the proposed solution to a perceived problem, the recommendations assume that students will learn more science. Professional developers assume their work will help science teachers enhance student learning. Publishers claim their science textbooks will increase learning. Ironically, even some agencies responsible for assessments assume the tests will result in greater learning!

Many concerns expressed by teachers also center on the challenges of helping their students learn science. Challenges can arise for a variety of reasons—when teachers hold the highest ideals of learning, believing that all students should understand the basic concepts of physics (or chemistry, biology, or Earth sciences); when they have misperceptions, such as thinking that inquiry-based instruction takes too much time away from learning essential content; and when they are faced with practical considerations, such as the need for students to do well on state or local assessments. In light of these challenges, it is in the interest of all teachers of science to understand and apply the basic principles of learning in their classroom practices.

The chapters in this yearbook explicitly use as their centerpiece a National Research Council (NRC) report, *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School* (Bransford, Brown, and Cocking, eds. 1999. Washington, DC: National Academy Press). The NRC report represents over four years of work and a landmark synthesis of research on human learning. To state the obvious, *How People Learn* has significant implications for how our society educates; for the design of curricula, instruction, assessment, and professional development; and, ultimately, for individual science teachers. Here, I will not review findings from the NRC report. Individual authors of the yearbook chapters do that in the context of the themes they address.

After an introduction by Harold Pratt, NSTA president 2001–2002, the yearbook chapters are grouped according to the following themes: How Do Students Learn Science?; Designing Curriculum for Student Learning; Teaching That

Introduction

“**L**earning Science and the Science of Learning,” the title of this yearbook and my theme as NSTA president, was chosen with the assumption that virtually every science teacher is a learner and wants to improve his or her practice. Most teachers believe that their personal learning is never finished. This book is dedicated to you, the professional science teacher, who makes a career of learning—your own and that of your students.

The yearbook was inspired by the recent seminal publication, *How People Learn; Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*, published by the National Research Council’s National Academy Press (1999). This book was produced by a committee of scholars and practitioners under the leadership of John Bransford, Vanderbilt University. Although written by researchers about the results of research, *How People Learn* is a very readable, practical, and useful guide for practitioners that explains in everyday language how people of all ages learn. There are specific sections on learning science, mathematics, and history. The book not only addresses how students learn, but has chapters devoted to how teachers learn their content and how to teach it.

Three overarching research findings provide a framework of what educators know about learners and learning and about teachers and teaching. Although the language of these findings speaks of students, they come from our knowledge of how people of all ages and professions learn. I think it will be instructive to view these three findings from the perspective of science teachers continuing to learn their content and their practice of teaching.

Students come to the classroom with preconceptions about how the world works. If their initial understanding is not engaged, they may fail to grasp the new concepts and information that are taught, or they may learn them for purposes of a test but revert to their preconceptions outside of the classroom.
(*How People Learn*, p.14)

As science teachers and science educators, we approach teaching, curriculum development, and assessment with our current conceptions about how the world of the classroom works, namely, how teachers should teach and how students learn. Our job as professionals is to find ways to take our current conceptions about learning and place them against new research, concepts, and information about learning as a

way of examining and improving our practice. Our roles as professionals include internalizing new views of teaching and learning and therefore teaching in new effective ways and not simply engaging in new language.

To develop competence in an area of inquiry, students must: (a) have a deep foundation of factual knowledge, (b) understand facts and ideas in the context of the conceptual framework, and (c) organize knowledge in ways that facilitate retrieval and application. (How People Learn, p.16)

This finding can be applied to our knowledge of science and of pedagogy. Outstanding teaching requires teachers to have a deep understanding of the subject matter and its structure, as well as an equally thorough understanding of the kinds of teaching activities that help students understand the subject matter in order to be capable of asking probing questions (*How People Learn*, p.188). Learning science means more than a superficial set of facts or explanations in most textbooks. It means understanding what we teach beyond what the textbooks provide us as content. It means being able to evaluate both the content and the learning activities suggested for the students. The interplay between content knowledge and understanding of pedagogy challenges the misconception about teaching that claims effective teaching means knowing the content and a generic set of teaching strategies that can be applied almost universally.

Too often the “facts” of our pedagogy are the tried and true methods we have used for years but are not grounded in a deep conceptual framework of the research on learning. The authors in this volume offer us an opportunity to reshape our deep foundation of pedagogical strategies in the research of learning rather than depending solely on our past practice.

In addition to inviting us to examine our own knowledge and practice, the authors offer us a context and framework through which we can examine our curriculum and the policies of our district and state that affect our teaching lives. Knowing the science of learning empowers teachers with the resources to argue for and support the decisions for curriculum and assessments that control much of their professional lives and the success of their students.

A metacognitive approach to instruction can help students learn to take control of their own learning by defining learning goals and monitoring their progress in achieving them. (How People Learn, p. 18)

Just as we should allow students the opportunity to verbalize and reflect on their own thinking, we as professionals must do the same. We must demand the time and support to set our own professional development goals and the opportunity to meet and discuss, plan, and review our teaching practices with the research on learning in mind.

With these three findings in mind, the content of the yearbook is useful and appropriate to a number of audiences.

- ◆ Schools and school districts will find the content useful as they consider what constitutes professional development and how organizational policies must change to support professional development programs.
- ◆ Professional developers will find the content useful as they design professional development opportunities.
- ◆ Science teachers will find the yearbook useful as they become more insightful consumers of professional development. They will become competent and discriminating in their selection and use of curriculum, instructional materials, teaching strategies, and assessments.
- ◆ Science educators in all roles will find the content useful as they become more effective proponents of enlightened policy and legislation that affect them and their students.
- ◆ Funding agencies and policymakers will find the yearbook useful as they decide what projects are worthwhile investments.

This yearbook is the result of the collaboration and contributions of the editor, authors, and the NSTA staff. My deep gratitude goes to Rodger Bybee, who suggested that yearbooks be reinstated at NSTA and willingly agreed to edit and contribute to this volume. His leadership and contribution to this work, to the science education community throughout his career, and to me personally for many years is deeply appreciated. My thanks to Gerry Wheeler, NSTA's executive director, and David Beacom, NSTA's publisher, for enthusiastically accepting and supporting the idea of the yearbook. I thank the authors who willingly and capably accepted the assignment to write to my theme as president in such profound ways.

Harold Pratt
NSTA President 2001–2002